Why Gravitational Wave Science Needs Pulsar Timing Arrays
And Why Pulsar Timing Arrays Need Both Arecibo and the GBT:
A Response to the NSF-AST Portfolio Review from the NANOGrav Collaboration

Gravitational waves (GWs) are ripples in space-time that are known to exist but have not yet been detected directly. Once they are, a key feature of any viable theory of gravity will be demonstrated and a new window on the universe opened. GW astronomy was named as one of five key discovery areas in the “New Worlds and New Horizons” Decadal Report. Pulsar timing probes GW frequencies, and hence source classes, that are inaccessible to any other detection method and can uniquely constrain the nonlinear nature of General Relativity. Pulsar timing is therefore a critical capability with its own discovery space and potential. Fulfilling this capability requires the complementary enabling features of both the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) and the Arecibo Observatory. Key features of the science and requirements are:

- The pulsar timing approach to GW detection uniquely probes stochastic backgrounds and continuous-wave signals at nanohertz frequencies along with non-linear bursts from inspiraling black holes.
- Current limits from pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) have already produced strong constraints on cosmic strings and are beginning to constrain scenarios for supermassive black hole evolution.
- New spin-stable millisecond pulsars (MSPs) found in large surveys, like those underway at the GBT and Arecibo, will greatly increase the sensitivity of PTAs to GWs. The GBT’s wide sky coverage is crucial for finding MSPs in underpopulated regions of the sky (see Fig. 1).
- The pulsar timing program requires a broad range of frequencies, sensitivity, observing cadences, and sky coverage that only Arecibo and the GBT together can provide.
- Arecibo and the GBT allow the US to maintain strong leadership in GW science and other, related areas.
- The Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) can play a supporting role in the overall pulsar program but cannot replace the GBT for either pulsar surveys or for long-term, multi-frequency timing with high cadence.
- The GBT is the only 100-meter class telescope in a radio-quiet zone. Other telescopes (Effelsberg, Lovell, Nancay, Parkes, Sardinia) are also less capable than the GBT in one or more additional aspects.

Why is pulsar timing a critical capability? Methods for GW detection include resonant bars, laser interferometers, and pulsar timing. Pulsar timing probes GW frequencies that are inaccessible to any of those methods, allowing study of super-massive black hole binaries and stochastic backgrounds from cosmic strings and the earliest stages of the universe, and was described as “even more promising” than other methods of detection in the Decadal Report. In addition, pulsar timing is the only way to detect GW memory as a discontinuous time-domain effect. Discovery of this effect will prove the fundamental non-linear nature of General Relativity. Pulsar timing demonstrated that GWs exist through spectacular, high-precision timing observations throughout the 1970s and 1980s, and it is now poised to directly detect GWs.

Why are both Arecibo and the GBT necessary? Arecibo and the GBT are the most sensitive facilities in the world for pulsar timing and therefore make NANOGrav a natural leader in the field. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the GBT is essential for fully sampling the correlation curve expected due to a stochastic background of GWs. Without the use of the GBT, NANOGrav’s time to detection will be delayed by at least several years. In addition, the time to detection will be increased by several years if the pulsars already discovered and those expected to be discovered by the GBT cannot be timed using the GBT.

Why can’t the JVLA replace the GBT? The JVLA can augment NANOGrav timing but cannot replace the GBT. Firstly, the GBT is ~50% more sensitive than the JVLA from 1–2 GHz, where there is an overlap in

1North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves; http://nanograv.org
frequency coverage relevant to pulsar timing. Twice as much time on the JVLA will be required to achieve the same timing precision. Secondly, the JVLA provides insufficient access to radio frequencies less than 1 GHz, needed for mitigating chromatic timing perturbations due to the interstellar medium, ionosphere, and solar wind. (Three times as much time on the JVLA is needed to achieve the same precision on dispersion measure correction). In addition, the high cadence needed for pulsar timing is unlikely to be achievable with the JVLA due to its oversubscription. Finally, a key part of NANOGrav’s program is to discover MSPs. The GBT is a cornerstone telescope for pulsar surveys due to its collecting area, low interference environment, and sky coverage. MSP surveys with the JVLA are currently infeasible given the large (5-10 GB/s!) data-rates entailed.

**Why can’t other telescopes replace the GBT?** Several 100-m class telescopes in Europe combine to form the Large European Array for Pulsars (LEAP). Some of these telescopes are not open-access, most are significantly less sensitive and flexible than the GBT, and all have far worse RFI environments. In the case of the open-access telescopes, US astronomers are unlikely to be granted time given the already-entrenched European programs.

The Five-hundred metre Aperture Spherical Telescope (FAST) and MeerKAT are under construction in China and South Africa, respectively. If they achieve their design specifications, they will both be powerful telescopes for pulsar timing. However, for both telescopes construction will finish in 2016–2017. It will likely take several more years for them to approach full capability. The US must collaborate with the FAST and MeerKAT projects over the next several years to increase the community of researchers in those countries and to ensure our access to these telescopes. The US will be at a disadvantage in collaborative projects without the use of the GBT.

**What other benefits are there to pulsar timing?** The NANOGrav program results in high-visibility ancillary science, including mass measurements that constrain the nuclear equation of state, a greater understanding of the formation of compact objects in supernovae, and tests of General Relativity with relativistic binary systems. Pulsar timing with both Arecibo and the GBT also provides an important platform for instrumentation development in partnerships with universities. NANOGrav members have also been very successful at involving students at all levels, from high-school to graduate, in research. The Pulsar Search Collaboratory and the Arecibo Remote Command Center have involved over 700 high-school students and 100 undergraduates in pulsar searching with the GBT and Arecibo. Given these considerations, the NANOGrav program is extremely cost effective. Combining the usage fractions of Arecibo and the GBT with community support costs, the ten-year cost of the NANOGrav program is $60M, a fraction of the cost of LIGO/Advanced LIGO.
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